

If your FLP proposal is approved, would it...			(need more data)
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as determined by either the bureau or office. (Attach SHPO Comments)		X	
<p>Explain: The Project area is located within the boundaries of the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-eligible Edison Facility Historic District (also referred to as the Raritan Arsenal Historic District). The Edison Facility Historic District was determined eligible for the NRHP in 1992 (7/15/1992), and the period of significance for the historic district is from 1918, when the arsenal was established, to 1964, when the arsenal was closed. Two buildings that date from the arsenal's occupation of the project area are extant on Patrol Road, Standard Magazine No. 465 and Standard Magazine No. 466. Both buildings are located outside the area of proposed action.</p> <p>Thomas A. Edison Park was developed in the mid-1970s and has no association with the historic district, other than being located within its boundaries; therefore, it is not considered to be historically significant. It is a modern park and does not contain any potentially significant historic buildings or structures. One element 50 years or older which was identified in the area of proposed action is a series of simple concrete culverts that date from the mid-20th century. These culverts likely date from U.S. Army occupation of the site and would have been part of the Army's general improvement of the stormwater drainage of Raritan Arsenal. The culverts are standard mid-20th century culverts and lack distinguishing characteristics, such as inscribed dates or markings of any sort. As a result, the culverts aren't considered contributing elements to the Edison Facility Historic District, and the proposed action would not be considered to have an adverse effect on the historic district and any contributing buildings associated with the historic district. The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) has confirmed that the proposed action only has a low potential to affect historic and archaeological remains. Consequently, SHPO does not recommend further consideration prior to permit issuance. As a result, it was determined that there is minimal potential for significant archaeological resources to be impacted by the Proposed Project.</p> <p>Section 106 review and documentation is required.</p>			
B. MANDATORY CRITERIA If your FLP proposal is approved, would it...	Yes	No	Maybe (need more data)
8. Have significant impacts on species listed or proposed to be listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.		X	
<p>Explain: According to the NJDEP Landscape Project maps, no habitat for State or Federal threatened or endangered species are located within the Project's proposed limit of disturbance. As a result, no adverse impacts are anticipated.</p> <p>Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act is required USFWS consultation is required.</p>			
B. MANDATORY CRITERIA If your FLP proposal is approved, would it...	Yes	No	Maybe (need more data)
9. Violate a federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		X	
<p>Explain: The Project will remain in compliance with all Federal, State, local, and tribal laws imposed for the protection of the environment. In order to ensure compliance, permits, approvals, and/or coordination with agencies required to construct the Proposed Project include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following: NJDEP Executive Order No. 215 (EO 215) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)</p> <p>All departments, agencies, and authorities of the State, or projects funded by the same, are required to prepare and submit to the NJDEP an Environmental Assessment (EA) or EIS in support of major construction projects. Projects with anticipated construction costs in excess of \$3 million are subject to the preparation of an EA, while projects with both construction costs in excess of \$5 million and land disturbance in excess of five acres are subject to the preparation of an EIS. As project construction cost would exceed \$5 million and</p>			